THE WORK-REMOVAL DEFICIT

Why Transformations Collapse Under Their Own Weight

The Work-Removal Deficit: Why Transformations Collapse Under Their Own Weight

Execution pressure doesn't reveal employee weakness; it reveals leadership design gaps.

Transformation is a leadership test because it converts ambition into lived experience. It tells your people, quickly, if your strategy can survive reality; or if it will dissolve into noise, overload, and quiet resentment.

Here's the uncomfortable part most leaders skip: many transformations fail before execution even starts. They fail at the moment leaders add "new priorities" without removing old ones. We call this the Work-Removal Deficit; the gap between the work you launch and the work you deliberately retire.

When that deficit grows, transformation stops being a path to outcomes; it becomes a stress event. Ralia's story explains why.

Ralia and the moment the transformation became heavy

Ralia is an operations manager with one of our clients. We facilitated their management retreat; we helped the leadership team shape a bold agenda for 2026. She left the New Year onboarding meeting energised. The vision sounded crisp: faster execution, stronger customer focus, better data discipline, more scale.

A few weeks later, during our follow-up call, we interviewed Ralia to understand what had changed on the ground.

She informed us that she got back to her desk excited and ready to make the vision work, then reality arrived, and she got drained before she even started.

Her workload had expanded overnight. Some tasks were tied to transformation priorities. Many were not. A colleague had resigned, and the gap had been redistributed quietly. What unsettled her wasn't only volume; it was ambiguity.

No sequencing. No definition of what "good" looked like. No clarity on what could wait. No decision rights when work collided. Just pressure, delivered through tasks. While leaders believed they had launched progress towards a new dawn that would prepare the company for the future, Ralia felt confused and overwhelmed.

That is where most transformation narratives start to fracture; not in strategy decks, but in the operating system. Transformation doesn't break strategies; it breaks operating systems.

In leadership meetings, transformation is framed as opportunity: growth, digitisation, efficiency, culture renewal. But employees' perception is:

- Additional work on top of existing responsibilities.

- "Urgent" initiatives with undefined scope.

- Shifting targets without clarity.

- Heightened expectations without additional support.

Most organisations don't fail for lack of strategy. They fail because the operating system cannot carry the load. When pressure rises, four leadership capabilities get exposed. Not in speeches; in daily trade-offs.

We call this the C4 Test: **Clarity, Capacity, Cadence,** and **Culture.**

Pass the C4 Test and transformation becomes traction. Fail it and you create organisational exhaustion under a transformation label.

| 1 | **Clarity: Can You Make Work Executable?** |

The most common leadership error during transformation is treating everything as a priority. When everything is urgent, people improvise and look for shortcuts. In Ralia's case, the problem is not a lack of competence. She was overwhelmed because she lacked direction. She did not know:

She didn't know:

- What mattered most
- What could wait
- What success looked like
- Who had authority when priorities collided

Confusion is expensive. It slows execution, increases rework, and pushes people into survival mode, and forces employees to optimise for visibility and political safety, not outcomes.

The top 3 outcomes that must win in the next 30–90 days.

What stops, pauses, or gets deprioritised.

Who owns delivery, and who owns decisions.

The few measures that define progress.

This is where the Work-Removal Deficit shows up. Leaders announce new work; they do not retire old work. People then carry both and transformation becomes weight.

| 2 | **Capacity: Can You Face Resourcing Reality Early?** |

Sometimes the story behind execution pressure is simple: capacity and expectations have drifted apart. One resignation can change a team's reality overnight, yet leaders often keep the same commitments and timelines. That is how transformation becomes a human stress test. Your agenda may still be valid. But if workload grows while resources shrink, you are not driving transformation; you are driving overload.

Capacity leadership requires early honesty about

What the organisation can realistically deliver.

What needs to be re-scoped.

Where capability gaps sit.

What must be simplified, automated, or removed

Overload rarely shows up first as resignation. It usually shows up as:

Delays masked by "we're on it".

Quality falling quietly.

Tension across teams.

Failed handoffs and blame drift.

Longer hours producing less value.

When leaders ignore these signals, they often respond with pressure and reminders. What the organisation needs is a redesign of commitments, not louder urgency.

3 Cadence: Can You Build a Delivery System That Survives the Middle?

Where do transformation initiatives collapse? It is right at the middle Where excitement fades, operational pressure fights back, and urgent issues consume leadership attention. Without a great execution system, the organisation drifts back to old habits. Ralia didn't need more tasks. She needed an execution rhythm that turned priorities into manageable work and work into outcomes.

Execution rhythm can take any of these forms:

Weekly reviews focused on progress, obstacles, and decisions.

Workplans that translate priorities into deliverables.

Escalation paths that make it safe to flag issues early.

Tracking that measures outcomes, not activity.

Clarity on interdependencies so teams stop blocking each other.

Without execution rhythm, organisations repeat a familiar loop:

➤ Assign initiatives

➤ Demand urgency

➤ Provide little guidance

➤ Penalise delays

➤ Move attention to the next priority

➤ The loop repeats

That loop produces fatigue, not change. It trains people to perform urgency rather than deliver outcomes.

 Silence becomes safer than escalation.

 Survival becomes more important than excellence.

 People stop asking and start guessing.

 Accountability turns into fear.

Then you get the worst combination: high effort, low trust, inconsistent outcomes.

The Ralia Test: Five questions every transformation leader must answer. If you want to know if your transformation is built for execution, ask these questions. If you can't answer them cleanly, your people will carry the cost.

| 4 | **Culture: Do Your Behaviours Reinforce What You Claim to Value?** |

For Ralia, what deepened the pressure wasn't only the workload; it was silence. She needed support, but she feared asking. Past experience taught her that "asking for clarity" often attracted impatience and condescension, ending with the same line:

❝ **Go and figure it out yourself.**

When leaders demand delivery but punish questions, the organisation learns the real rules:

1 **What stops this quarter?**
Not what starts; what stops.

2 **What are the top three outcomes by day 90?**
Not activities; outcomes.

3 **Who decides trade-offs when priorities collide?**
A named role, not a committee drift.

4 **What is the weekly execution rhythm?**
What gets reviewed, what gets decided, and what gets unblocked.

5 **What is safe to escalate, and how fast will leaders respond?**
If escalation feels dangerous, you will learn late; at higher cost.

A *30-day*

leadership reset that reduces weight and restores momentum. If you are leading a transformation, your first job is to remove noise so execution can breathe.

1 Close the Work-Removal Deficit

➤ Publish what stops, pauses, or shifts.

➤ Remove low-value work, not just add new work.

➤ Simplify processes that are stealing capacity.

2 Make Clarity Visible

➤ Publish the top 3 outcomes for the next 90 days.

➤ Define "what good looks like" in plain language.

➤ Name one accountable owner per outcome.

3 Fix Decision Rights

➤ Define who decides cross-team trade-offs.

➤ Set a fast escalation route when work stalls.

➤ Document decisions so teams don't relitigate weekly.

4 Install Execution Rhythm

➤ One weekly review focused on decisions and blockers.

➤ A simple scoreboard of outcomes and risks.

➤ Actions with owners and deadlines.

5 Protect the Culture Under Pressure

➤ Reward early escalation, not late heroics.

➤ Coach leaders to respond to questions with clarity, not irritation.

➤ Treat "I need help" as operational intelligence, not weakness.

The conclusion leaders rarely say out loud. Transformation is a leadership test because it makes leadership visible. It reveals if you can turn strategy into clear decisions, decisions into disciplined execution, and execution into measurable outcomes, without breaking the people required to deliver it.

Ralia's experience exposes a simple truth: when transformation feels heavy, it is usually because leadership added work without removing work. That is not an employee resilience problem. It is an operating design problem. So here is the question behind every transformation agenda:

Will you remove weight and build capability, or will you assign pressure and call it progress? Because transformation doesn't start with the organisation. It starts with you.

About BROOT Consulting

BROOT Consulting is a strategy, innovation, and transformation advisory firm helping organizations unlock clarity, agility, and enduring value. We specialize in future-focused growth through human-centered change, customer experience innovation, and leadership enablement.

Our proprietary frameworks and diagnostics empower ambitious institutions to rethink how they lead, scale, and adapt in an increasingly dynamic world.

At BROOT, we don't just solve for what's next, we build capabilities that last.

Authors

Olukunle A. Iyanda, PhD
Founder and CEO of
BROOT Consulting.

Sarah Okhiade
Lead, Growth & Partnerships,
BROOT Consulting.

Find More
Content Like This

+2348058299028

info@brootc.com

@brootconsulting

beyond expectations ...